arXiv:0910.4306v1 [math.NT] 22 Oct 2009

SOME ASPECTS OF HERMITIAN JACOBI FORMS

SOUMYA DAS

Abstract. We introduce a certain differential (heat) operator on the space of Hermitian Jacobi forms of degree 1, show it's commutation with certain Hecke operators and use it to construct a lift of elliptic cusp forms to Hermitian Jacobi cusp forms. We construct Hermitian Jacobi forms as the image of the tensor product of two copies of Jacobi forms and also from differentiation of the variables. We determine the number of Fourier coefficients that determine a Hermitian Jacobi form and use it to embed a certain subspace of Hermitian Jacobi forms into a direct sum of modular forms for the full modular group.

1. Introduction

In the theory of Jacobi forms, one of the use of differential operators has been to produce new Jacobi forms from old or to construct other classes of modular forms, eg. elliptic modular forms. In this paper we introduce a certain differential operator $D_\nu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$ (Proposition 3.3) on the space of Hermitian Jacobi forms of weight k and index m (denoted $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$) of degree 1 for the Hermitian Jacobi group over the ring of integers of the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ (see Section 2) to construct modular forms for $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. This is the analogue for the heat operator defined and studied for classical Jacobi forms by M. Eichler and D. Zagier in [2].

We compute the Fourier expansion of the adjoint of D_ν in Section 4. The vanishing of $J_{1,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ for all m is proved in Lemma 4.1. Further, we define a map from the tensor product of two copies of classical Jacobi forms (denoted $J_{k,m}$) to $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and construct Hermitian Jacobi forms from those of smaller weights and indices using partial derivaties with respect to the variables z_1 and z_2.

Also, in analogy with Jacobi forms, D_ν commutes with V_l ($l \in \mathbb{N}$) operators in a certain sense (see Section 5). In Section 6, using the Theta Correspondence between $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and modular forms on congruence subgroups of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, we compute the number of Fourier coefficients that determine $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ (see Proposition 6.2). Using this, an embedding of a certain subspace $J^{Spez}_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ (see Definition 6.2) of $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ into a finite direct sum of spaces of modular forms for $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ using the D_ν maps is obtained (following [2]). An analogous embedding of $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is desirable, but one way to do that would be to prove the Hermitian Theta-Wronskian to be nowhere vanishing on the upper half plane. To the knowledge of the author, unfortunately we do not have this at present.

Date: October 22, 2009.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F50; Secondary 11F60.
Key words and phrases. Hermitian Jacobi forms, Differential Operators.

2. Notations and definitions

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the upper half plane. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ and $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ be it's ring of integers. The Jacobi group over $\mathcal{O}_K$ is $\Gamma^J(\mathcal{O}_K) = \Gamma^1(\mathcal{O}_K) \ltimes \mathcal{O}_K^2$, where $\Gamma^1(\mathcal{O}_K) = \left\{\epsilon M \mid M \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z}), \epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}\right\}$.

The space of Hermitian Jacobi forms for $\Gamma^J(\mathcal{O}_K)$ of weight k and index m , where k , m are positive integers, consists of holomorphic functions ϕ on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying :

$$\phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \phi|_{k,m}\epsilon M(\tau, z_1, z_2) := \epsilon^{-k}(c\tau + d)^{-k} e^{\frac{-2\pi i m c z_1 z_2}{c\tau+d}} \phi\left(M\tau, \frac{\epsilon z_1}{c\tau + d}, \frac{\bar{\epsilon} z_2}{c\tau + d}\right), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \text{ for all } M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \text{ in } SL(2,\mathbb{Z}), M\tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + b}$$

$$\phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \phi|_{k,m}[\lambda, \mu] := e^{2\pi i m(N(\lambda)\tau + \bar{\lambda} z_1 + \lambda z_2)} \phi(\tau, z_1 + \lambda\tau + \mu, z_2 + \bar{\lambda}\tau + \bar{\mu}) \tag{2.2}$$

$$\text{for all } \lambda, \mu \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_K, \text{where} \quad N\colon K \to \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{is the norm map.}$$

The complex vector space of Hermitian Jacobi forms of weight k and index m is denoted by $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Such a form has a Fourier expansion :

$$\phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{r \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\sharp} \\ nm \geq N(r)}} c_\phi(n, r) e^{2\pi i(n\tau + r z_1 + \bar{r} z_2)} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_K^{\sharp} = \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{O}_K$ (the inverse different of $K|\mathbb{Q}$).

We say that ϕ is a Hermitian Jacobi *cusp* form if $c_\phi(n, r) = 0$ for $nm = N(r)$. The space of Jacobi cusp forms is denoted as $J_{k,m}^{cusp}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Hermitian Jacobi forms have been defined and studied by Klaus Haverkamp in [4], [5].

We consider the power series expansion of ϕ around $z_1 = z_2 = 0$ from the Fourier expansion (2.3) :

$$\phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0} \chi_{\alpha,\beta}(\tau) z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta \tag{2.4}$$

We denote the space of modular forms of weight k (resp. cusp forms of weight k) for $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ by M_k (resp. S_k).

3. A non-holomorphic differential operator on $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$

Definition 3.1. Let $\phi_0 := \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \chi_{\nu,\nu}(\tau)\,(z_1 z_2)^\nu$ be the 'diagonal part' of $\phi \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ We denote the vector space of 'diagonal parts' arising from $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ by $J_{k,m}^0(\mathcal{O}_K) := \{\phi_0 \mid \phi \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)\}$. We define the operator

$$L_{k,m} := 8\pi i m \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{(2k-2)}{z_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - \frac{(2k-2)}{z_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - 4\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let ϕ be a holomorphic function on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^2$. Then,*

$$L_{k,m}(\phi|_{k,m} M) = (L_{k,m}\phi)|_{k+2,m} M, \text{ where } M \in SL(2,\mathbb{R}). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. By direct calculation. ☐

Lemma 3.2. *Consider the power series expansion of* $\phi \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ *as in (2.4). Then the following are equivalent:*

$$(i) \quad \phi|_{k,m}M = \phi$$

$$(ii) \quad \chi_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = (c\tau+d)^{k+\alpha+\beta} \sum_{\alpha\geq\nu,\beta\geq\nu} \frac{1}{\nu!}\left(\frac{2\pi imc}{c\tau+d}\right)^{\nu} \chi_{\alpha-\nu,\beta-\nu}(\tau)$$

where $M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. $\phi|_{k,m}M = \phi$

$$\Leftrightarrow (c\tau+d)^{-k} e^{\frac{-2\pi imcz_1,z_2}{c\tau+d}} \phi\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}, \frac{z_1}{c\tau+d}, \frac{z_2}{c\tau+d}\right) = \phi$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{\alpha\geq 0,\beta\geq 0} \chi_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) z_1^{\alpha} z_2^{\beta} = (c\tau+d)^{k+\alpha+\beta}\left(\sum_{\nu\geq 0}\frac{1}{\nu!}\left(\frac{2\pi imc}{c\tau+d}\right)^{\nu}(z_1z_2)^{\nu}\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\chi_{\alpha,\beta}(\tau)z_1^{\alpha}z_2^{\beta}\right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \chi_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = (c\tau+d)^{k+\alpha+\beta} \sum_{\alpha\geq\nu,\beta\geq\nu} \frac{1}{\nu!}\left(\frac{2\pi imc}{c\tau+d}\right)^{\nu} \chi_{\alpha-\nu,\beta-\nu}(\tau)$$

☐

Remark 3.1. $\phi_0 := \sum_{\nu\geq 0,\nu\geq 0} \chi_{\nu,\nu}(\tau)(z_1z_2)^{\nu}$ satisfies $\phi_0|_{k,m}M = \phi_0$, for all $\phi \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. This follows from the above Proposition by replacing ϕ by it's diagonal part ϕ_0 and retracing the proof from the last line.

We denote the space of holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.2 (i.e. invariant under the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$) by $J^0_{k,m}$, so $J^0_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \subset J^0_{k,m}$ from the above Remark. From the transformation (2.1) we get that $\chi_{\alpha,\beta} = \epsilon^{k-\alpha+\beta}\chi_{\alpha,\beta}$ ($\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$). Hence $\chi_{\alpha,\alpha} \neq 0$ only when $k \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. So from now on we assume $k \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. We define the non-holomorphic differential operators next (for $k \equiv 0 \pmod 4$), but they can be defined for other congruence classes of k as well. See the Remark 3.3 at the end of this section.

Definition 3.2. For each $\nu \geq 0$, we denote by $\tilde{D}_\nu$ the composite map :

$$\tilde{D}_\nu : J^0_{k,m} \xrightarrow{L_{k,m}} \cdots \xrightarrow{L_{k+2\nu-2,m}} J^0_{k+2\nu,m}$$

Clearly $\left(\tilde{D}_\nu\phi\right)|_{k+2\nu,m}M = \tilde{D}_\nu\left(\phi|_{k,m}M\right) = \tilde{D}_\nu(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in J^0_{k,m}$ and $M \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $\pi_{k,m} : J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \longrightarrow J^0_{k,m}$ be the projection $\phi \mapsto \phi_0$.

Proposition 3.3. *The composite map* $D_\nu\phi := \tilde{D}_\nu \circ \pi_{k,m}\phi\left(\tau, z_1, z_2\right)|_{z_1=0,z_2=0}$ *defines a linear map from* $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ *to* M_k *for* $\nu = 0$ *and to* $S_{k+2\nu}$ *for* $\nu \geq 1$.

Proof. With Definition (2.4) , $\chi_{0,0}(\tau)$ is a modular form for $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\tilde{D}_\nu$ are invariant under the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, we get the proposition. The assertion about cusp forms when $\nu \geq 1$ is trivial. ☐

Proposition 3.4. *With the notation of definition (3.2) , we have the expansion :*

$$\tilde{D}_\nu \phi = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} (-4)^{\nu-\mu} (8\pi i m)^{\mu} \binom{\nu}{\mu} \frac{(\alpha+\nu-\mu)!}{\alpha!} \frac{(\alpha+k+2\nu-\mu-2)!}{(\alpha+k+2\nu-2)!} \chi^{(\mu)}_{\alpha+\nu-\mu,\alpha+\nu-\mu}(\tau)\,(z_1 z_2)^{\alpha}$$

where $g^{(\nu)}(\tau) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{\nu} g(\tau)$.

Proof. The case $\nu = 1$ is easy to see. The rest is easily checked by induction.

□

Corollary 3.5. *For* $\phi \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ *,*

$$D_\nu \phi = \nu! \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} (-4)^{\nu-\mu} (8\pi i m)^{\mu} \frac{(k+2\nu-\mu-2)!}{\mu!\,(k+\nu-2)!} \chi^{(\mu)}_{\nu-\mu,\nu-\mu}(\tau) \right) \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. This follows by considering the $(0,0)^{th}$ coefficients in the above Proposition. □

Remark 3.2. Inverting the formula in Corollary 3.5, we get (letting $\xi_\nu = D_\nu \phi$)

$$\chi_{\nu,\nu}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\nu! 4^{\nu}} \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} (-1)^{\nu-\mu} (8\pi i m)^{\mu} \binom{\nu}{\mu} \frac{(k+2\nu-2\mu-1)\,(k+\nu-\mu-2)!}{(k+2\nu-\mu-1)!} \xi^{(\mu)}_{\nu-\mu}(\tau) \right) \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 3.3. We can also define the D_ν maps on the subseries of ϕ

$$\phi_n := \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \chi_{\nu+n,\nu}(\tau)(z_1 z_2)^{\nu} \quad \text{or} \quad \phi^n := \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \chi_{\nu,\nu+n}(\tau)(z_1 z_2)^{\nu}$$

It then follows from Remark 3.1 in the same way as in the case of ϕ_0 that ϕ_n (resp. ϕ^n) are invariant under the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. So we can define D_ν operators on them. The formula for D_ν in this case is the same as in the case of ϕ_0 except that k replaced by $k+n$ and $\chi_{\alpha,\alpha}$ by $\chi_{\alpha+n,\alpha}$ (resp. by $\chi_{\alpha+n,\alpha}$). For example, if $k \equiv 1,2,3 \pmod 4$, one can define the D_ν operators on ϕ_n ($n \equiv 1,2,3 \pmod 4$) or on ϕ^n (resp. $n \equiv 3,2,1 \pmod 4$) and composing with the projection from $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

4. CONSTRUCTION OF HERMITIAN JACOBI FORMS

We need to consider $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ for $k > 1$, because of the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1. $J_{1,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) = 0$ *for all* $m \geq 1$.

Proof. The proof is a simple application of the corresponding result for classical Jacobi forms, proved by N.P. Skoruppa([12]). Let $\phi \in J_{1,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

$$\text{Define} \quad U_\rho \colon J_{1,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \to J_{1,N(\rho)m}(\mathcal{O}_K), \quad (U_\rho \phi)(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \phi(\tau, \rho z_1, \bar{\rho} z_2) \quad (\rho \in \mathcal{O}_K)$$

and $\pi \colon J_{1,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \to J_{1,m}$, $(\pi\phi)(\tau, z) = \phi(\tau, z, z)$. Since $J_{1,m} = 0$, we have $(\pi \circ U_\rho)\,\phi = 0$ for each $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Hence we get from the power series expansion of ϕ,

$$\sum \chi_{\alpha,\beta} z_1^{\alpha} z_2^{\beta} \overset{U_\rho}{\longmapsto} \sum \chi_{\alpha,\beta} \rho^{\alpha} \bar{\rho}^{\beta} z_1^{\alpha} z_2^{\beta} \overset{\pi}{\longmapsto} \sum \chi_{\alpha,\beta} \rho^{\alpha} \bar{\rho}^{\beta} z^{\alpha+\beta} = 0$$

This clearly implies $\chi_{0,0} \equiv 0$. For each $n \geq 1$ we get the following equation

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \left(\frac{\rho}{\bar{\rho}}\right)^{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha,n-\alpha} = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

We choose $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \cdots \rho_n\} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that each $\rho_i \in \mathcal{O}_K \backslash \mathbb{Z}$ and for each pair $(i,j) \in \{0,1,\cdots n\}$ with $i \neq j$, $\rho_i \bar{\rho}_j \neq \rho_j \bar{\rho}_i$; i.e., $\rho_i \bar{\rho}_j \in \mathcal{O}_K \backslash \mathbb{Z}$. (For two sets A, B we have used the notation $A \backslash B := \{x \in A \mid x \notin B\}$.)

We get a system of equations for each $n \geq 1$,

$$M \cdot \Xi_n = 0, \text{ where } M_{\gamma,\alpha} = \left(\frac{\rho_\gamma}{\bar{\rho}_\gamma}\right)^{\alpha} \text{ and } \Xi_n = (\chi_{\alpha,n-\alpha})_{0 \leq \alpha \leq n}$$

where $M = V\left(\frac{\rho_0}{\bar{\rho}_0}, \cdots \frac{\rho_n}{\bar{\rho}_n}\right)$, is the Vandermonde determinant which is non-zero with our choice of ρ_i's. Hence $\Xi_n \equiv 0$. Since this happens for every n, we conclude that $\chi_{\alpha,\beta} \equiv 0$ for all α, β and so $\phi \equiv 0$. □

4.1. **Fourier expansion of the adjoint of D_ν.** Let $f \in S_{k+2\nu}$ and $(,)$ be the Petersson inner product on $S_{k+2\nu}$. Let $\langle , \rangle$ be the Petersson inner product on $J^{cusp}_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and $D^*_\nu : S_{k+2\nu} \longrightarrow J^{cusp}_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ be the adjoint of D_ν with respect to the above inner products.

Theorem 4.2. *With the above notations the Fourier development of $D^*_\nu f$ is given by*

$$D^*_\nu f\,(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{r \in \mathcal{O}^\sharp_K \\ nm \geq N(r)}} c_{D^*_\nu f}(n,r) e^{2\pi i (n\tau + r z_1 + \bar{r} z_2)} \qquad \textit{where ,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{D^*_\nu f}(n,r) =& \frac{\nu!(-1)^\nu (4\pi)^{2\nu-1} \Gamma(k+2\nu-1) m^{\nu-k+3} \left(nm - N(r)\right)^{k-2}}{\Gamma(k-2)(k-1)^{(\nu)}} \\ &\times \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{O}_K} \frac{a\left(mN(\lambda) + r\lambda + \bar{r}\bar{\lambda} + n, f\right)}{\left(mN(\lambda) + r\lambda + \bar{r}\bar{\lambda} + n\right)^{k+\nu-1}} \\ &\times \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} \frac{(-1)^j (k-1)^{(2\nu-j)}}{(\nu-j)!^2 j!} \left(\frac{N(m\lambda + \bar{r})}{m\left(mN(\lambda) + r\lambda + \bar{r}\bar{\lambda} + n\right)}\right)^{\nu-j} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $f(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a\,(n,f)\, e^{2\pi i n \tau}$.

For the proof we make use of the Hermitian Jacobi Poincaré series. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathcal{O}^\sharp_K$, and let $\Gamma^J := \Gamma^J(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and $\Gamma^J_\infty := \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (0,\mu) \right) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_K \right\} \subset \Gamma^J$ be the stabilizer group of the function $e^{n,r} := e^{2\pi i (n\tau + r z_1 + \bar{r} z_2)}$ under the action of Γ^J. Let $P^{k,m}_{n,r}\left(n \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathcal{O}^\sharp_K\right)$ be the (n,r)-th Hermitian Jacobi Poincaré series of weight $k > 4$ and index m defined by

$$P^{k,m}_{n,r}(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^J_\infty \backslash \Gamma^J} e\left(n\tau + r z_1 + \bar{r} z_2\right) |_{k,m}\, \gamma(\tau, z_1, z_2) \tag{4.3}$$

Like in the case of classical Jacobi forms, we have :

Lemma 4.3.

$$\langle \phi, P^{k,m}_{n,r} \rangle = \lambda^{k,m}_{n,r} c_\phi(n,r) \quad \forall\, \phi \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

where $\lambda^{k,m}_{n,r} = \frac{m^{k-4} \quad \Gamma(k-2)}{(4\pi)^{k-3}(mn-N(r))^{k-3}}$.

Proof. Let $dV^J = v^{-4} du dv dx_1 dy_1 dx_2 dy_2$ be the invariant volume element on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ for Γ^J. We have , by the usual un-folding argument,

$$\langle \phi, P^{k,m}_{n,r} \rangle = \int\limits_{\Gamma^J_\infty \backslash \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^2} \phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) \overline{e^{n,r}(\tau, z_1, z_2)} \quad e^{\frac{-\pi m}{v}|z_1 - \bar{z}_2|^2} v^k dV^J,$$

where $\tau = u + iv$, $z_i = x_j + iy_j$, $j = 1,2$. As a fundamental domain for the action of Γ^J_∞ on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ we take

$$\Gamma^J_\infty \backslash \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^2 = \{0 \le u \le 1, 0 < v, 0 \le x_1 \le 1, 0 \le y_1 \le 1\}$$

We make the substitution $\bar{z}_2 - z_1 = z'$. Noting that $\mathcal{O}^\sharp_K = \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{O}_K$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, P^{k,m}_{n,r} \rangle &= \sum_{\substack{l \ge 1 \\ nm > N(s)}} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{O}^\sharp_K} c_\phi(l,s) \int\limits_{\Gamma^J_\infty \backslash \mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C}^2} e^{-2\pi v(l+n)} e^{2\pi i(l-n)u} e^{4\pi i Re((s-r)z_1) + \bar{s}\bar{z}' - rz'} e^{\frac{-\pi m}{v}|z'|^2} v^k dV'^J \\ &= \frac{c_\phi(n,r)}{m} \int_0^\infty v^{k-3} e^{-\frac{4\pi v}{m}(mn - N(r))} dv \\ &= c_\phi(n,r) \frac{m^{k-4} \quad \Gamma(k-2)}{(4\pi)^{k-3}(mn - N(r))^{k-3}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.4.

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial {z_1}^\alpha} \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial {z_2}^\alpha} \left(exp(az_1 + bz_2 + cz_1z_2) \right) |_{z_1 = z_2 = 0} = \sum_{h=0}^{\alpha} (ab)^h c^{\alpha - h} \binom{\alpha}{h}^2 (\alpha - h)!$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial {z_1}^\alpha} \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial {z_2}^\alpha} \left(exp(az_1 + bz_2 + cz_1z_2) \right) = \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial {z_1}^\alpha} exp(az_1) \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial {z_2}^\alpha} \left(exp(b + cz_1)z_2 \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial {z_1}^\alpha} exp(az_1) \left\{ (b + cz_1)^\alpha exp(bz_2 + cz_1z_2) \right\} = exp(bz_2) \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial {z_1}^\alpha} \left\{ (b + cz_1)^\alpha exp\left((a + cz_2)z_1 \right) \right\} \\ &= exp(bz_2) \sum_{h=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{h} \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha - h)!} c^h (b + cz_1)^{\alpha - h} (a + cz_2)^{\alpha - h} exp(az_1 + cz_1z_2), \end{aligned}$$

from which the lemma easily follows upon changing $h \mapsto \alpha - h$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Since the proof is quite similar to that in [13, Theorem 1.1], we will only include the results of our computation. From Lemma (4.3) we can compute the (n,r)-th Fourier coefficient of $D^*_\nu f$ as

$$\langle D^*_\nu f, P_{n,r} \rangle = \frac{c_{D^*_\nu f}(n,r) m^{k-4} \Gamma(k-2)}{(4\pi)^{k-2}(nm - N(r))^{k-3}} = (f, D_\nu(P_{n,r})) \tag{4.4}$$

Next, we compute $D_\nu(P_{n,r})$ as an infinite linear combination of elliptic Poincaré series (see equation (4.10)). We start with the definition of Hermitian Poincaré series :

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n,r}(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} (c\tau + d)^{-k} e \Bigg(&-\frac{mcz_1z_2}{c\tau + d} + (mN(\lambda) + r\lambda + \bar{r}\bar{\lambda} + n)\gamma\tau \\ &+ \frac{(m\bar{\lambda} + r)z_1 + (m\lambda + r)z_2}{c\tau + d} \Bigg) \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

By Lemma (4.4) with $a = \frac{2\pi i(m\bar{\lambda}+r)}{c\tau+d}, b = \frac{2\pi i(m\lambda+\bar{r})}{c\tau+d}, c = \frac{-2\pi imc}{c\tau+d}$

we obtain a formula for $\tilde{\chi}_{\alpha,\alpha}$, where the power series expansion of $P_{n,r}$ is $\sum\limits_{\alpha,\beta\geq 0} \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha,\beta}\, z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha,\alpha} = &\sum_{h=0}^{\alpha} \frac{(2\pi i)^{\alpha+h}}{(h!)^2(\alpha-h)!} \times \\ &\times \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} (-mc)^{\alpha-h}(c\tau+d)^{-(k+h+\alpha)} N(m\lambda + \bar{r})^h e\left(mN(\lambda) + r\lambda + \bar{r}\bar{\lambda} + n\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

For convenience of notation, we let $T := mN(\lambda) + r\lambda + \bar{r}\bar{\lambda} + n$.

Put $\tilde{P}(\tau) = \sum\limits_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} (-mc)^{\alpha-h}(c\tau+d)^{-(k+h+\alpha)} e(T)$. Then we have the following formula ([13, p.30])

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}^{(\mu)}(\tau) = &\sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \binom{\mu}{j} (-1)^{\mu-j}(2\pi i)^j (k+h+\alpha+j)^{(\mu-j)} \times \\ &\times \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} (c\tau+d)^{-(k+h+\alpha+\mu+j)} c^{\mu-j}(-mc)^{\alpha-h} T^j e(T). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where for non-negative integers a, b , $a^{(b)} := \frac{(a+b-1)!}{(b-1)!}$.

From equation (4.6) and (4.7) we get the following expression for $\tilde{\chi}_{\alpha,\alpha}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_{\alpha,\alpha} = &\sum_{h=0}^{\alpha} \frac{(2\pi i)^{\alpha+h}}{(h!)^2(\alpha-h)!} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K} N(m\lambda + \bar{r})^h \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \binom{\mu}{j} (-1)^{\mu-j}(2\pi i)^j \\ &\times (k+h+\alpha+j)^{(\mu-j)} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} (c\tau+d)^{-(k+h+\alpha+\mu+j)}\, c^{\mu-j}(-mc)^{\alpha-h}\, T^j e(T) \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Finally taking $\alpha = \nu - \mu$ in equation (4.8) we arrive at the following expression for $D_\nu(P_{n,r})(\tau)$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\nu(P_{n,r})(\tau) = &\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} \sum_{h=0}^{\nu-\mu} \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} (-1)^{\mu+h+j} \binom{\mu}{j} (2\pi i)^{\nu+h+j} \frac{4^\nu \nu!}{\mu!(h!)^2(\nu-\mu-h)!} \\ &\times (k+h+\nu-\mu+j)^{(\mu-j)}\, \frac{(k+2\nu-\mu-2)!}{(k+\nu-2)!} m^{\nu-h} N(m\lambda+\bar{r})^h \\ &\times (c\tau+d)^{-(k+h+\nu+j)}\, c^{\nu-h-j}\, T^j e(T) \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Using the identities as in [13, p.31] we get the expansion of $D_\nu(P_{n,r})(\tau)$ in terms of the Poincaré series:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\nu} \frac{(-1)^j (k+2\nu-j-2)!\,\nu!\,(4\pi)^{2\nu}}{j!(\nu-j)!^2(k+\nu-2)!} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K} N(m\lambda+\bar{r})^{\nu-j}(mT)^j\, P_T^{k+2\nu}(\tau) \tag{4.10}$$

where $P_T^{k+2\nu}$ denotes the T-th Poincaré series of weight $k+2\nu$ for $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$

Using Lemma (4.3) and equation (4.4) and the fact that $\left(f, P_n^k\right) = \frac{a(n,f)\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi n)^{k-1}}$ for $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n,f)q^n \in M_k$ and P_n^k the n-th Poincaré series of weight k, we get the desired formula (4.2) in Theorem 4.2. ☐

4.2. **Construction of Hermitian Jacobi forms using classical Jacobi Forms.** In this section we define a map from 2 copies of Jacobi forms to Jacobi forms for the group $SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathcal{O}_K$, denoted by $J^1_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ (see [9]); the transformation properties for these Jacobi forms being the same as in (2.1) and (2.2) except that we take $\epsilon = 1$. Obviously $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \subset J^1_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. We then average over the units in $\mathcal{O}_K$ to get Hermitian Jacobi forms.

Proposition 4.5. *Fix $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\phi_j \in J_{k_j,m}$, $j \in \{1,2\}$. Define*

$$H\left(\phi_1,\phi_2\right)(\tau,z_1,z_2) = \sum_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times} \phi_1\left(\tau, \frac{1}{2}(z_1+z_2)\right) \phi_2\left(\tau, \frac{i}{2}(z_1-z_2)\right) |_{k_1+k_2}\, \epsilon I.$$

Then $H\left(\phi_1,\phi_2\right) \in J^1_{k_1+k_2,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. If ϕ_i are cusp forms, then so is $H\left(\phi_1,\phi_2\right)$.

Proof. The proof is easy, so we omit it. The assertion about cusp forms is easily checked by writing the Fourier expansion of $H\left(\phi_1,\phi_2\right)$ from those of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2. ☐

Corollary 4.6. *H is a bilinear map and hence by the above proposition, defines a unique linear map, which we still denote by H. Further averaging over the units of $\mathcal{O}_K$, i.e., considering the map $\Lambda\colon J^1_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \to J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ given by $\phi \mapsto \sum_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times} \phi\, |_k\, \epsilon I$ we have the following lift to Hermitian Jacobi forms:*

$$J_{k_1,m} \otimes J_{k_2,m} \xrightarrow{H} J^1_{k_1+k_2,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\Lambda} J_{k_1+k_2,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \tag{4.11}$$

preserving cusp forms.

Remark 4.1. If $\phi_1 = \sum_{\mu \pmod{2m}} h_\mu \theta_{m,\mu}(\tau,z) \in J_{k_1,m}$ and $\phi_2 \sum_{\mu \pmod{2m}} g_\mu \theta_{m,\mu}(\tau,z) \in J_{k_2,m}$ be their Theta decompositions (see [2]).Then the Theta decomposition (see Section 6 for definition) of $\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \in J_{k_1+k_2,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is given by (clearly the construction of the Theta decomposition for $J^1_{k_1+k_2,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is exactly the same as for $J_{k_1+k_2,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$)

$$\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2(\tau,z_1,z_2) = \sum_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times} \epsilon^{-k_1-k_2} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{O}_K^\sharp/m\mathcal{O}_K} h_{\mathrm{Re}(s)}(\tau)\, g_{\mathrm{Im}(s)}(\tau) \cdot \theta^H_{m,\epsilon s}(\tau,z_1,z_2)$$

This follows easily from the fact that $H(\theta_{m,\mu},\theta_{m,\nu}) = \theta^H_{m,\frac{\mu}{2}+i\frac{\nu}{2}}$ and that $\theta^H_{m,s}\, |_{1,m}\, \epsilon I = \bar{\epsilon}\theta^H_{m,\epsilon s}$. It would be interesting to study this map and to find how large the image is.

4.3. **Construction by differentiation.** Finally we construct Hermitian Jacobi forms from smaller weights and indices using differentiation of the variables z_1, z_2. This is the analogue of the corresponding construction for the classical Jacobi forms [2, Theorem 9.5]. For a function $\phi\colon \mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ we let $\phi_{(j)} := \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}\phi$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $\phi_{(r,s)} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_s \partial z_r}\phi$ for $r, s = 1, 2$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let ϕ and ψ be Hermitian Jacobi forms of weights k_1 and k_2 and index m_1 and m_2 respectively. Then*

(i) $m_1\phi\psi_{(2)} - m_2\psi\phi_{(2)}$ *is a Hermitian Jacobi form of weight $k_1 + k_2 + 1$ and index $m_1 + m_2$.*

(ii) $\Big(m_1\phi\psi_{(1)} - m_2\psi\phi_{(1)}\Big)^2 + m_1\phi^2\Big(\psi_{(1)}^2 - \psi\psi_{(1,1)}\Big) + m_2\psi^2\Big(\phi_{(1)}^2 - \phi\phi_{(1,1)}\Big)$

is a Hermitian Jacobi form of weight $2(k_1 + k_2 + 1)$ and index $2(m_1 + m_2)$.

Proof. (i) A meromorphic Hermitian Jacobi form ϕ of weight k and index 0 is a meromorphic function $\phi\colon \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\phi\left(M\tau, \frac{\epsilon z_1}{c\tau + d}, \frac{\bar{\epsilon} z_2}{c\tau + d}\right) = \epsilon^k (c\tau + d)^k \phi(\tau, z_1, z_2),\ \forall \epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \quad \text{and}$$
$$\phi(\tau, z_1 + \lambda\tau + \mu, z_2 + \bar{\lambda}\tau + \bar{\mu}) = \phi(\tau, z_1, z_2) \text{ for all } \lambda, \mu \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_K$$

Clearly $\phi_{(2)}$ (resp. $\phi_{(1,1)}$) is a meromorphic Hermitian Jacobi form of weight $k+1$ (resp. $k+2$) and index 0 (resp. 0) since in our case $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ the unit group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, given $\phi \in J_{k_1,m_1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and $\phi \in J_{k_2,m_2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ we consider the quotient $\Phi := \frac{\phi^{m_2}}{\psi^{m_1}}$ which is a meromorphic Hermitian Jacobi form of weight $k_1m_2 - k_2m_1$ and index 0. Then

$$\Phi_{(2)} = \frac{\phi^{m_2-1}}{\psi^{m_1+1}}(m_2\psi\phi_{(2)} - m_1\phi\psi_{(2)})$$

This proves that $m_1\phi\psi_{(2)} - m_2\psi\phi_{(2)}$ is a meromorphic Hermitian Jacobi form of weight $k_1 + k_2 + 1$ and index $m_1 + m_2$. Holomorphicity at the cusps is easy to see by writing the Fourier expansions of ϕ and ψ. In case $k_1m_2 - k_2m_1 < 0$, we consider $\Phi_{(2)} = \frac{\psi^{m_1}}{\phi^{m_2}}$ to get the same result.

(ii) We calculate $\Phi_{(1,1)}$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{(1,1)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{\phi^{m_2-1}}{\psi^{m_1+1}}(m_2\psi\phi_{(2)} - m_1\phi\psi_{(2)})\Big)\\ &= \frac{\phi^{m_2-2}}{\psi^{m_1+2}}\Big\{\Big(m_1\phi\psi_{(1)} - m_2\psi\phi_{(1)}\Big)^2 + m_1\phi^2\Big(\psi_{(1)}^2 - \psi\psi_{(1,1)}\Big) + m_2\psi^2\Big(\phi_{(1)}^2 - \phi\phi_{(1,1)}\Big)\Big\}\end{aligned}$$

The same arguments as in the proof of (i) completes the proof. □

5. Commutation with Hecke Operators

Definition 5.1. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi\colon \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ let

$$\phi|_{k,m}V_l(\tau, z_1, z_2) := l^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash M(2,\mathbb{Z})\\ \det\gamma = l}} (c\tau + d)^{-k} e\left(-\frac{mlc\, z_1z_2}{c\tau + d}\right)\phi\left(\gamma\tau, \frac{lz_1}{c\tau + d}, \frac{lz_2}{c\tau + d}\right). \tag{5.1}$$

Let $\phi \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Then $\phi|_{k,m}V_l \in J_{k,ml}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ (see [5], [2]). We consider the Fourier development of the action of $\phi|_{k,m}V_l$ in the next Lemma :

Lemma 5.1.

$$\phi|_{k,m}V_l(\tau,z_1,z_2)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{t\in\mathcal{O}_K^{\sharp}\\ nm\geq N(t)}}\left(\sum_{\substack{a|(n,l)\\ t/a\in\mathcal{O}^{\sharp}}}a^{k-1}c\left(\frac{nl}{a^2},\frac{t}{a}\right)\right)e\left(n\tau+tz_1+\bar{t}z_2\right) \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. The proof is standard and so we omit it. □

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\nu\geq 0$, $\phi\in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, $l\in\mathbb{N}$, V_l as in Definition (5.1). Then*

$$D_\nu\left(\phi|_{k,m}V_l\right)=\left(D_\nu\phi\right)|_{k+2\nu}T_l \tag{5.3}$$

where T_l is the usual Hecke operator on elliptic modular forms.

Proof. From the definition of D_ν operators in (3.3) it is enough to prove that the following diagrams are commutative for all k,m :

$$\begin{tikzcd} J^0_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \arrow[r, "L_{k,m}"] \arrow[d, "V_l"] & J^0_{k+2,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \arrow[d, "V_l"] \\ J^0_{k,ml}(\mathcal{O}_K) \arrow[r, "L_{k,m}"] & J^0_{k+2,ml}(\mathcal{O}_K) \end{tikzcd} \quad , \quad \begin{tikzcd} J^0_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \arrow[r, "z_1=z_2=0"] \arrow[d, "V_l"] & M_k \arrow[d, "T_l"] \\ J^0_{k,ml}(\mathcal{O}_K) \arrow[r, "z_1=z_2=0"] & M_k \end{tikzcd}$$

The first diagram is commutative since V_l maps ϕ_0 (the diagonal part of ϕ) to $l^{\frac{k}{2}-1}\sum\limits_{M}(\phi_0|M)\left(\tau,\sqrt{l}z_1,\sqrt{l}z_2\right)$ and $L_{k,m}$ commutes with $|_{k,m}M$ (3.1). That the second diagram is commutative follows from (5.1) and the definition of T_l. □

6. NUMBER OF FOURIER COEFFICIENTS THAT DETERMINE ϕ

We recall the Theta correspondence between Hermitian Jacobi forms and vector-valued modular forms ([4],[5]): Let $\phi\in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with Fourier expansion (2.3)

$$\phi=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{r\in\mathcal{O}_K^{\sharp}\\ nm\geq N(r)}}c_\phi(n,r)e^{2\pi i(n\tau+rz_1+\bar{r}z_2)}$$

It is known ([4], [5]) that $c_\phi(n,r)$ depends only on $r \pmod{m\mathcal{O}_K}$ and $D(n,r)=nm-N(r)$ where $N:K\to\mathbb{Q}$ is the norm map, defining $c_s(L):=\begin{cases} c_\phi(n,r) & \text{if} \quad r\equiv s \pmod{m\mathcal{O}_K} \quad \text{and} \quad L=4D(n,r)\\ 0 & \qquad\qquad \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$ where $s\in\mathcal{O}_K^{\sharp}/m\mathcal{O}_K$ and $L\in\mathbb{Z}$, we can rewrite the Fourier expansion of ϕ as (Theta decomposition):

$$\phi(\tau,z_1,z_2)=\sum_{s\in\mathcal{O}_K^{\sharp}/m\mathcal{O}_K}h_s(\tau)\cdot\theta^H_{m,s}(\tau,z_1,z_2), \qquad \text{where}$$

$$h_s(\tau):=\sum_{\substack{L=0\\ N(s)+L/4\in m\mathbb{Z}}}^{\infty}c_s(L)e^{\frac{2\pi iL\tau}{4m}} \text{ and } \theta^H_{m,s}(\tau,z_1,z_2):=\sum_{r\equiv s(mod\, m\mathcal{O}_K)}e\left(\frac{N(r)}{m}\tau+rz_1+\bar{r}z_2\right). \tag{6.1}$$

Further if we let $\Theta^H_m(\tau,z_1,z_2):=\left(\theta^H_{m,s}(\tau,z_1,z_2)\right)_{s\in\mathcal{O}_K^{\sharp}/m\mathcal{O}_K}\in\mathbb{C}^{4m^2}$, then we have [4, Theorem 2]:

Theorem 6.1. *For $g = (g_s)_{s \in \mathcal{O}_K^\sharp / m\mathcal{O}_K}, g_s : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, the following are equivalent :*

(i) ${}^t\Theta_m^H g \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$

(ii) $\parallel g(\tau) \parallel$ *is bounded as* $Im(\tau) \to \infty$ *and* $g|_{k-1}M = \overline{U_m(M)}g$

for all $M \in \Gamma_1(\mathcal{O}_K) = \left\{\epsilon M | \epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times, M \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})\right\}$

where $\Theta_m^H|_{1,m}M = U_m(M) \cdot \Theta_m^H|_{1,m}$ *is it's functional equation and* $U_m : \Gamma_1(\mathcal{O}) \to U(4m^2)$ *is a homomorphism defined by it (*$U(n)$ *is the group of* $n \times n$ *unitary matrices).*

Remark 6.1. We have $h_s \in M_{k-1}(\Gamma(4m))$. This follows from Theorem (6.1)(ii) and the fact that $\Gamma(4m) \subset Ker(U_m)$ (see [4],[5] for a proof).

Definition 6.1. For a positive integer m, define

$$\kappa(k,m) = \left[\frac{4m^2(k-1)}{3}\prod_{p|4m}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^2}\right)+\frac{m}{2}\right], \tag{6.2}$$

$[\cdot]$ being the greatest-integer function. Note that $\kappa(k,m)$ also equals $\left[\frac{\omega}{m}\right]$, where

$$\omega := [SL(2,\mathbb{Z})\colon \Gamma(4m)] \cdot \frac{k-1}{48} + \frac{m^2}{2}. \tag{6.3}$$

Let $r(n)$ denote the number of integral solutions of $x^2+y^2 = n$. It is well known that $r(n) = 4\delta(n)$ (see [3] for instance), where $\delta(n) = \sum\limits_{d|n}\left(\frac{-4}{d}\right)$, $\left(\frac{-4}{\cdot}\right)$ being the unique primitive Dirichlet character modulo 4.

For $4m|l$, let $R(l) := R_m(l) = \sum\limits_{0 \le n \le \frac{l}{4m}} \sum\limits_{0 \le d \le 4mn} r(d)$.

Proposition 6.2. *In the Fourier expansion (2.3) of a Hermitian Jacobi form* ϕ*, suppose that* $c_\phi(n,r) = 0$ *for* $0 \le n \le \kappa(k,m)$*. Then* $\phi \equiv 0$*; i.e.,* ϕ *"is determined" by the first* $R(4m\,\kappa(k,m))$ *of it's Fourier coefficients.*

Proof. The proof will use the Theta decomposition (6.1). By Remark 6.1, only finitely many coefficients determine each h_s. Namely, if the Fourier coefficients $c_s(L)$ of h_s vanish for all $0 \le L \le \kappa(k,m)$, then h_s itself vanish (see [11] p.120).

Let $1 \le L \le [SL(2,\mathbb{Z})\colon \Gamma(4m)] \cdot \frac{k-1}{12}, s \in \mathcal{O}_K^\sharp/m\mathcal{O}_K$. From the definition of $c_s(L)$ above, $c_s(L) = 0$ unless $N(2s) + L \equiv 0 \pmod{4m\mathbb{Z}}$. As a set of representatives $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K^\sharp$ in $\mathcal{O}_K^\sharp/m\mathcal{O}_K$ we take

$$\mathcal{S}\colon \left\{\frac{p}{2} + i\frac{q}{2}\right\}, \text{ where } (p,q) \in [-m, m-1] \times [-m, m-1] \tag{6.4}$$

With the above choice, note that $\max\limits_{s \in \mathcal{S}}(N(s)) = \frac{m^2}{2}$. Therefore, when $N(2s) + L = 4mn \in 4m\mathbb{Z}$, the bound on L implies that $0 \le n \le \kappa(k,m)$. Since there are $\sum\limits_{0 \le d \le 4mn} r(d)$ coefficients $c_\phi(n,r)$ for each $n \ge 0$, this proves the proposition. □

Definition 6.2 ([4],[5])**.** We define the following subspace of $J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$:

$$J_{k,m}^{Spez}(\mathcal{O}_K) := \{\phi \in J_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) |\, c_\phi(n,r) \text{ depends only on } nm - N(r)\}$$

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose that in the power series decomposition (2.4) of* $\phi \in J^{Spez}_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, $\chi_{\nu,\nu} = 0$ *for all* $0 \leq \nu \leq R(4m\,\kappa(k,m))$. *Then* $\phi \equiv 0$.

Proof. Since each $\chi_{\nu,\nu}$ is periodic in τ with period 1, (this follows by taking $M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ in the identity (3.2)) and is holomorphic on $\mathcal{H}$, it has a unique Fourier expansion

$$\nu!^2 \chi_{\nu,\nu} = \sum_n \left(\sum_r (-4\pi^2 N(r))^\nu c(n,r) \right) e(n\tau)$$

Define $\tilde{r}(n) := Card.\, \{0 \leq d \leq 4mn,\, d = \square\}$, where $d = \square$ means d is a sum of two squares. Noticing that $c(n,r) = c(n,r')$ if $N(r) = N(r')$, we let $c(n,d) := c(n,r)$, if $d = N(2r)$. Also $\chi_{0,0} \equiv 0$ implies $c(0,0) = 0$. From the hypothesis we get for each $1 \leq n \leq R(4m\,\kappa(k,m))$

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq d \leq 4mn \\ N(2r) = d}} r(d) d^\nu c(n,d) = 0$$

For a fixed n as above, considering this equation for $1 \leq \nu \leq \tilde{r}(n)$ we get a $\tilde{r}(n) \times \tilde{r}(n)$ matrix $M(n)$ such that (since $\tilde{r}(n) < R(4m\,\kappa(k,m))$).

$$M(n) \cdot C(n) = 0, \quad \text{where } M(n)_{\nu,d} = r(d) d^\nu \quad \textit{and} \quad C(n) = (c(n,d))_{1 \leq d \leq 4mn, d = \square}$$

Now $\det M(n) = c \cdot \det V\,(1, 2, \cdots \tilde{r}(n)) \neq 0$, where c is a non-zero constant, and for integers $a_1, a_2, \cdots a_l$, $V(a_1, a_2, \cdots a_l)$ is the Vandermonde determinant which is non-zero when $a_i \neq a_j \;\forall i \neq j$. Therefore, $C(n) \equiv 0$.

Doing this for each $0 \leq n \leq R(4m\,\kappa(k,m))$, we get $c(n,r) = 0$, for $0 \leq n \leq R(4m\,\kappa(k,m))$, so $\phi \equiv 0$ from the previous Proposition. ☐

Theorem 6.4. *The map* $\mathcal{D}\colon J^{Spez}_{k,m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \longrightarrow M_k \bigoplus_{1 \leq \nu \leq R(4m\,\kappa(k,m))} S_{k+2\nu}$ *defined by*

$$\mathcal{D}(\phi) = (D_\nu \phi)_{0 \leq \nu \leq R(4m\,\kappa(k,m))} \tag{6.5}$$

is injective.

Proof. If $\phi \in ker\mathcal{D}$, by definition of $\mathcal{D}$ we have $\xi_\nu := D_\nu \phi \equiv 0$ for all ν as in the Theorem. By Remark (3.2) we obtain $\chi_{\nu,\nu} \equiv 0$ for $0 \leq \nu \leq R(4m\,\kappa(k,m))$. Therefore $\phi \equiv 0$ by the previous Proposition. ☐

7. *Acknowledgements*

The author is grateful to Prof. B. Ramakrishnan for his advice and several helpful discussions and wishes to thank Prof. N. P. Skoruppa for his interest and comments.

REFERENCES

[1] Y. Choie, H. Kim, O. K. Richter: *Differential Operators on Hilbert modular forms.* Journal of Number Theory. **Vol 122**, 25-36 (2007).

[2] M. Eichler, D. Zagier: *The theory of Jacobi forms.* Progress in Mathematics, **Vol. 55**. Boston-Basel-Stuttgart: Birkhuser (1985).

[3] G. H. Hardy, E. M. Wright: *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers.* Oxford University Press.

[4] K. Haverkamp: *Hermitian Jacobi forms.* Results in Mathematics. **Vol. 29**, 78-89 (1996).

[5] K. Haverkamp: *Hermitesche Jacobiformen.* SCHRIFTENREIHE des Mathematischen Institute der Universität Münster. 3.Serie,Heft 15 (1995).

[6] H. Kim: *Differential Operators on Hermitian Jacobi forms* Arch Math. **Vol 79**, 208-215 (2002).

[7] J. Kramer: *JACOBIFORMEN UND THETAREIHEN.* Manuscripta Math. **Vol 54**, no. 3, 279-322 (1986).

[8] J. Kramer: *A Wronskian of Thetanullwerte.* Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg. **Vol 61**, 61-62 (1991).

[9] S. Raghavan, J. Sengupta: *A Dirichlet series for Hermitian modular forms of degree 2.* Acta Arithmetica. **Vol 58**, no. 2, 181-201 (1991).

[10] T. Satoh: *Jacobi forms and certain special values of Dirichlet series associated to modular forms.* Math. Ann. **285**, 463-480 (1989).

[11] B. Schoeneberg: *Elliptic Modular Functions, An Introduction.* Springer-Verlag. (1974).

[12] N. P. Skoruppa: *Über den Zusammenhang zwischen Jacobi-Formen und Modulformen halbganzen Gewichts* Dissertation, Universität Bonn, (1984).

[13] Y. Tokuno: *The adjointness of the maps between the space of Jacobi forms and the space of Modular forms.* Tôhoku Math. J. **46**, 27-34 (1994).

HARISH CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, CHHATNAG ROAD, JHUSI ALLAHABAD 211019, INDIA.

E-mail address: `somu@hri.res.in`